

1 **LAW OFFICE OF RESHMA KAMATH**

2 Reshma Kamath, Cal. Bar No. 333800
3 700 El Camino Real, Suite 120, #1084,
4 Menlo Park, California 94025, United States
5 Phone-number: 650 257 0719
6 E-mail address: reshmakamath2021@gmail.com

7 **COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS RUIFENG**
8 **BIZTECH INC.; GANGYOU WANG;**
9 **ALAN LI; AND, RF BIOTECH LLC.**

10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
11 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
12 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

13 **QUINTARA BIOSCIENCES,**
14 **INC., a California corporation,**

15 **Plaintiffs,**

16 **v.**

17 **RUIFENG BIZTECH INC., a**
18 **California corporation,**
19 **GANGYOU WANG, an**
20 **individual, ALEX WONG, an**
21 **individual, ALAN LI, an**
22 **individual, RUI SHAO, an**
23 **individual, and RF BIOTECH**
24 **LLC, a California limited liability**
25 **company,**

26 **Defendants.**

Case No.: 3:20-cv-04808-WHA

*[Assigned to presiding Article III Senior
District Judge Honorable William Haskell
Alsup]*

NOTICE TO JUDGE ALSUP OF
ATTORNEYS JAMES LI, TAMARA
RIDER, DANIEL PETERSON, AND
RICHARD LAMBERT'S
GAMESMANSHIP AND BAD-FAITH IN
THE NOTICE OF APPEAL CASE
NUMBER, 0:23-cv-16093 IN NOT
INCLUDING COUNSEL RESHMA
KAMATH AS APPELLEES'
ATTORNEY-OF-RECORD; AND
PREJUDICIAL FILING TO APPELEES
GANGYOU WANG, ALAN LI,
RUIFENG BIZTECH, INC.; AND, RF
BIOTECH LLC

27 **TO THE HONORABLE COURT, ALL PARTIES, AND ATTORNEYS OF**
28 **RECORD, HEREIN:**

1 ***PLEASE TAKE NOTICE*** from Counsel RESHMA KAMATH of the opposing
2 Attorneys' JAMES LI, TAMARA RIDER, DANIEL PETERSON, and RICHARD
3 LAMBERT's yet another gamesmanship in bad-faith tactics.
4

5 For over three months, Counsel RESHMA KAMATH was awaiting notice from
6 the NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS for the NOTICE OF APPEAL, and
7 related materials. However, even on undersigned date, Counsel RESHMA KAMATH
8 has not received from opposing Attorneys' JAMES LI, TAMARA RIDER, DANIEL
9 PETERSON, and RICHARD LAMBERT not one service from ACMS, mail, and/or
10 otherwise.
11

12 Those opposing Attorneys' JAMES LI, TAMARA RIDER, DANIEL
13 PETERSON, and RICHARD LAMBERT had not even provided the APPEAL CASE
14 NUMBER on-going in any document to Counsel RESHMA KAMATH.
15

16 After Counsel RESHMA KAMATH investigated further, Attorneys' JAMES LI,
17 TAMARA RIDER, DANIEL PETERSON, and RICHARD LAMBERT did NOT list
18 RESHMA KAMATH as the Appellee's Attorney-of-Record in the Notice of Appeal
19 and/or other documents.
20

21 Because on the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals docket, the name that appears
22 falsely, incorrectly, and erroneously, is the **terminated attorney, Brandon Carr,**
23 **Buchalter Professional Corporation.** This person was **terminated by GANGYOU**
24 **WANG, ALAN LI, RUIFENG BIOTECH, INC., and RF BIOTECH, LLC over two**
25 **years ago.**
26
27
28

1 **Instead of listing the trial attorney, who won, RESHMA KAMATH, LAW**
2 **OFFICE OF RESHMA KAMATH,** opposing Attorneys' JAMES LI, TAMARA
3 RIDER, DANIEL PETERSON, and RICHARD LAMBERT put some other terminated
4 attorneys' name. This is because opposing Attorneys' JAMES LI, TAMARA RIDER,
5 DANIEL PETERSON, and RICHARD LAMBERT did **not** want **GANGYOU WANG,**
6 **ALAN LI, RUIFENG BIOTECH, INC., and RF BIOTECH, LLC; and their**
7 **undersigned counsel, RESHMA KAMATH, to receive notice of the appealed**
8 **document filings. If the opposing attorneys had knowledge that Brandon Carr's**
9 **name was listed on the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, then there was no**
10 **correction, and rectification on their part as ethical attorneys.**

14 Opposing Attorneys' JAMES LI, TAMARA RIDER, DANIEL PETERSON, and
15 RICHARD LAMBERT were and are **highly unethical** in their gathering of discovery,
16 binder exchange, misleading filings re COVID-19 illness, conduct at trial, and **on-going**
17 **with the appeal.**

19 Then, Counsel RESHMA KAMATH found this below attached in **Exhibit A,** and
20 incorporated via reference, herein.

22 This is not just racism, it is further evidence of opposing Attorneys' JAMES LI,
23 TAMARA RIDER, DANIEL PETERSON, and RICHARD LAMBERT's bad-faith,
24 gamesmanship, and ill-will.

26 Judge Alsup now suddenly cannot claim he does not have jurisdiction because the
27 matter is on appeal perfected (after a plethora of reminders from Counsel Reshma
28

1 Kamath that was exceeding the scope of his jurisdiction and acting in abuse of his
2 discretion) – when Judge Alsup claimed jurisdiction over three months since the notice
3 of appeal was filed.
4

5 If Judge Alsup as a judicial officer knows illegality, then he must report this and
6 take the required action. Only one-sided sanctions clearly show racism of Judge Alsup
7 – it is undisputed.
8

9 ///

10 **LAW OFFICE OF RESHMA KAMATH**

11
12 **DATED: November 22, 2023**

/s/ Reshma Kamath

13 Reshma Kamath,
14 Counsel for Defendants RUIFENG BIZTECH
15 INC.; GANGYOU WANG; ALAN LI; and, RF
16 BIOTECH LLC
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

27 F.R.C.P. 5 / C.C.P. § 1013(a)(3), C.C.P. § 1010.6(a)(6) / Cal. R. Ct. R. 2.260
28

I am employed in, the County of San Mateo, California. I am over the age of 18, and not a party to this action. My business/mailling address is: 700 El Camino Real, Suite 120, #1084, Menlo Park, California 94025, United States; and, e-mail address is reshmakamath2021@gmail.com for electronic-service. On November 22, 2023, I sent the following documents via the below method of service. SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST.

///

NOTICE TO JUDGE ALSUP OF ATTORNEYS JAMES LI, TAMARA RIDER, DANIEL PETERSON, AND RICHARD LAMBERT'S GAMESMANSHIP AND BAD-FAITH IN THE NOTICE OF APPEAL CASE NUMBER, 0:23-cv-16093 IN NOT INCLUDING COUNSEL RESHMA KAMATH AS APPELLEES' ATTORNEY-OF-RECORD; AND PREJUDICIAL FILING TO APPELEES GANGYOU WANG, ALAN LI, RUIFENG BIZTECH, INC.; AND, RF BIOTECH LLC

///

Via **ELECTRONIC SERVICE**: In electronically transmitting courtesy copies of the document(s) listed above to the email address(es) of the person(s) set forth on the attached service list per the electronic service agreement between the parties' counsel. To my knowledge, the transmission was reported as complete and without error. [Notice of Electronic-Service pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 1010.6.]

I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of California, and the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on November 22, 2023.

Sincerely,
/S/ Reshma Kamath
Reshma Kamath

SERVICE LIST

Daniel Robert Peterson Email: petersond@lilaw.us

Richard D Lambert Email: lambertr@lilaw.us

Tamara Rider Email: ridert@lilaw.us

J. James Li LiLaw, Inc. 1905 Hamilton Avenue Suite 200 San Jose, CA 95125

650-521-5956 Fax: 650-521-5955 Email: lij@lilaw.us

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF QUINTARA BIOSCIENCES, INC.

Ting Jiang, LiLaw, Inc./Quintara's Legal assistant E.: jiangt@lilaw.us

Evelyn Rojase, Tamara Rider's assistant, E.: rojase@lilaw.us

EXHIBIT A

Quintara Biosciences, Inc. v. Ruifeng Biztech, Inc., et al

Original Case: 3:20-cv-04808

Ninth Circuit U.S. Court of Appeals

Case #: 0:23-cv-16093
Type civil / private
Nature of Suit 890 Other Statutes - Other Statutory Actions
Case Filed: Aug 14, 2023

Docket

Parties (7)

Last checked: **Wednesday Aug 16, 2023 1:36 AM PDT**

Defendant - Appellee

RUIFENG BIZTECH, INC.

Represented By

Brandon M. Carr

Buchalter, A Professional Corporation

[contact info](#)

Defendant - Appellee

GANGYOU WANG

Represented By

Brandon M. Carr

Buchalter, A Professional Corporation

[contact info](#)

Defendant - Appellee

ALEX WONG

Represented By

Brandon M. Carr

Buchalter, A Professional Corporation

[contact info](#)

Defendant - Appellee

ALAN LI

Represented By

Brandon M. Carr

Buchalter, A Professional Corporation

[contact info](#)

Defendant - Appellee

RUI SHAO

Represented By

Brandon M. Carr

Buchalter, A Professional Corporation[contact info](#)**Defendant - Appellee**

RF BIOTECH LLC

Represented By

Brandon M. Carr

Buchalter, A Professional Corporation[contact info](#)**Plaintiff - Appellant**

QUINTARA BIOSCIENCES, INC.

Represented By



Jing James Li

LiLaw Inc.[contact info](#)

Docket last updated: 08/16/2023 1:28 AM PDT

Monday, August 14, 2023

- 1 DOCKETED CAUSE AND ENTERED APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL. SEND MQ: Yes. The schedule is set as follows: Appellant Quintara Biosciences, Inc. Mediation Questionnaire due on 08/21/2023. Transcript ordered by 09/11/2023. Transcript due 10/10/2023. Appellant Quintara Biosciences, Inc. opening brief due 11/20/2023. Appellees Alan Li, RF Biotech LLC, Ruifeng Biztech, Inc., Rui Shao, Gangyou Wang and Alex Wong answering brief due 12/20/2023. Appellant's optional reply brief is due 21 days after service of the answering brief. [12773866] (JBS) [Entered: 08/14/2023 04:09 PM]

Att: 1  **3 pgs** Docketing Letter and Briefing ScheduleAtt: 2  **2 pgs** Notice to All Parties and CounselAtt: 3  **1 pgs** Mediation LetterAtt: 4  **22 pgs** Case Opening Packet[Continue to Create Account](#)

[Privacy](#) • [Terms](#) • [About](#)
PacerMonitor, LLC © 2022.
